



Feedback

This bibliography of publications, preprints and news articles has been collated to support research on paper mills. We recognize that this bibliography will be incomplete, and we apologize to any authors whose publications have been unintentionally omitted. We welcome feedback including suggested additions at contact@united2act.org. This bibliography will be regularly updated by the United2Act Working Group 3 Research on paper mills.

Bibliography

Journal and Proceedings papers, editorials:

Grimes, D.R. (2024). Is biomedical research self-correcting? Modelling insights on the persistence of spurious science. *Royal Society Open Science*, 11(1), 231056. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.231056>

Daly, T.M., Ryan, J.C. (2024). University 'Pay-for-grades': the bait and switch search engine optimization strategies of contract cheating websites in the United States. *International Journal for Education Integrity*, 20(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40979-023-00148-x>

Rahimi, R., Jones, J., Bailey, C. (2024). Exploring contract cheating in further education: student engagement and academic integrity challenges. *Ethics and Education*, early access. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449642.2023.2299193>

Pathmendra, P., Park, Y., Enguita, F.J., Byrne, J.A. (2024). Verification of nucleotide sequence reagent identities in original publications in high impact factor cancer research journals. *Naunyn-Schmiedberg's Archives of Pharmacology*, early access. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-023-02846-2>

Chen, K.H., Liu, J.C.E. (2024). Overcoming stigma: how academic ghostwriting companies neutralize their services in Chinese and English markets. *Higher Education*, early access. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-023-01168-9>

Rajadurai, S.V. (2024). Breaking free from academic scams: Five key reflections on the cloned journal conundrum. *Learned Publishing*, 37(1), 44-48. <https://doi.org/10.1002/leap.1590>

Bishop, D., Abalkina, A. (2023). Paper mills: a novel form of publishing malpractice affecting psychology, *Meta-Psychology*, 7, MP.2022.3422. <https://doi.org/10.15626/MP.2022.3422>



- da Silva, J.A.T., Vickers, N.J., Nazarovets, S. (2023). From citation metrics to citation ethics: Critical examination of a highly-cited 2017 moth pheromone paper. *Scientometrics*, 129(1), 693-703. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-023-04855-7>
- Rees, M. (2024). Editors, publication ethics and maintaining the integrity of the scientific record. *Maturitas*, 179, 107842. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2023.107842>
- Muñoz-Cantero, J.M., Espiñeira-Bellón, E.M. (2024). Intelligent Plagiarism as a Misconduct in Academic Integrity. *Acta Medica Portuguesa*, 37(1), 1-2. <https://doi.org/10.20344/amp.20233>
- Zielske SP, Cackowski FC. (2023). Critical analysis of the hypothesized SNHG1/miR-195-5p/YAP1 axis. *Funct Integr Genomics*, 23(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10142-022-00930-z>
- Candal-Pedreira, C., Ghaddar, A., Pérez-Ríos, M., Varela-Lema, L., Alvarez-Dardet, C., Ruano-Ravina, A. (2023). Scientific misconduct: A cross-sectional study of the perceptions, attitudes and experiences of Spanish researchers. *Accountability in Research – Ethics Integrity and Policy*, early access. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08989621.2023.2284965>
- Odri, G.A., Yoonb, D.J.Y. (2023). Detecting generative artificial intelligence in scientific articles: Evasion techniques and implications for scientific integrity. *Orthopaedics & Traumatology – Surgery & Research*, 109(8), 103706. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2023.103706>
- Jacques, T., Sleiman, R., Diaz, M.I., Dartus, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence: Emergence and possible fraudulent use in medical publishing. *Orthopaedics & Traumatology – Surgery & Research*, 109(8), 103709. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2023.103709>
- Abalkina, A. (2023). Challenges posed by hijacked journals in Scopus. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, early access. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24855>
- Abalkina, A. (2023). Predatory vs hijacked journals: A commentary to “A ‘Trojan horse’ in the reference lists: Citations to a hijacked journal in SSCI-indexed marketing journals. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 49(6), 102798. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2023.102798>
- Wittau, J., Seifert, R. (2023). Metadata analysis of retracted fake papers in Naunyn-Schmiedeberg’s Archives of Pharmacology. *Naunyn-Schmiederbergs Archives of Pharmacology*, early access. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-023-02850-6>
- Ioannidis, J.P.A., Maniadis, Z. (2023). Quantitative research assessment: using metrics against gamed metrics. *Internal and Emergency Medicine*, early access. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11739-023-03447-w>
- Lazebnik, T., Gorlitsky, D. (2023). Can We Mathematically Spot the Possible Manipulation of Results in Research Manuscripts Using Benford’s Law? *Data*, 8(11), 165. <https://doi.org/10.3390/data8110165>
- Wittau, J., Celik, S., Kacprowski, T., Deserno, T.M., Seifert, R. (2023). Fake paper identification in the pool of withdrawn and rejected manuscripts submitted to Naunyn-Schmiedeberg’s Archives of Pharmacology. *Naunyn-Schmiederbergs Archives of Pharmacology*, early access. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-023-02741-w>



Abdalla, M.H.I., Malberg, S., Dementieva, D., Mosca, E., Groh, G. (2023). A Benchmark Dataset to Distinguish Human-Written and Machine-Generated Scientific Papers. *Information*, 14(10), 522.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/info14100522>

Kendall, G., da Silva, J.A.T. (2024). Risks of abuse of large language models, like ChatGPT, in scientific publishing: Authorship, predatory publishing, and paper mills. *Learned Publishing*, 37(1), 55-62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/leap.1578>

Candal-Pedreira, C., Ross, J.S., Marusic, A., Ruano-Ravina, A. (2024). Research misconduct as a challenge for academic institutions and scientific journals. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 78(1), 61-64. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2023-220554>

Wykes, T., Parkinson, A. (2023). The anxiety of the lone editor: fraud, paper mills and the protection of the scientific record. *Journal of Mental Health*, 32(5), 865-868.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2023.2232217>

Abalkina, A. (2023). Publication and collaboration anomalies in academic papers originating from a paper mill: Evidence from a Russia-based paper mill. *Learned Publishing*, 36(4), 689-702. <https://doi.org/10.1002/leap.1574>

Oransky, I., Marcus, A., Abritis, A. (2023). How bibliometrics and school rankings reward unreliable science. *BMJ-British Medical Journal*, 382, p1887. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.p1887>

Smart, P. (2023). Paper mills, fraudulent authors, and editorial responses.

Science Editing, 10(2), 165-169. <https://doi.org/10.6087/kcse.314>

Yeo-Teh, N.S.L., Tang, B.L. (2023). Post-publication Peer Review with an Intention to Uncover Data/Result Irregularities and Potential Research Misconduct in Scientific Research: Vigilantism or Volunteerism? *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 29(4), 24.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-023-00447-z>

Barrantes, B.S.L., Dalton, S., Andre, D. (2023). Bibliometrics Methods in Detecting Citations to Questionable Journals, *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 49(4), 102749.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2023.102749>

Rush, A., Watson, P., Byrne, J.A. (2023). Biobanking and research quality: think locally, act globally. *Trends in Genetics*, 39(7), 521-523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2023.04.001>

Bricker-Anthony, C., Herzog, R.W., (2023). Distortion of journal impact factors in the era of paper mills. *Molecular Therapy*, 31(6), 1503-1504. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2023.05.008>

Zaidi, S.J.A., Taqi, M. (2023). Citation Cartels in Medical and Dental Journals, *JCPSP-Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan*, 33(6), 700-701.

<https://doi.org/10.29271/jcpsp.2023.06.700>

Lin, J.L., Song, J.X., Zhou, Z.P., Chen, Y.D., Shi, X.D. (2023). Automated scholarly paper review: Concepts, technologies, and challenges. *Information Fusion*, 98, 101830.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2023.101830>

Zheng, X., Chen, J.J., Tollas, A., Ni, C.Q. (2023). The effectiveness of peer review in identifying issues leading to retractions. *Journal of Informetrics*, 17(3), 101423.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2023.101423>



Hyland, K. (2023). Enter the dragon: China and global academic publishing. *Learned Publishing*, 36(3), 394-403. <https://doi.org/10.1002/leap.1545>

Sweeney, S. (2023). Who wrote this? Essay mills and assessment-Considerations regarding contract cheating and AI in higher education, *International Journal of Management Education*, 21(2), 100818. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100818>

da Silva, J.A.T., Nazarovets, S. (2023). Assessment of retracted papers, and their retraction notices, from a cancer journal associated with “paper mills,” *Journal of Data and Information Science*, 8(2), 118-125. <https://doi.org/10.2478/jdis-2023-0009>

Eckhardt, G.M., Ruxton, G.D. (2023). Investigating and preventing scientific misconduct using Benford's Law, *Research Integrity and Peer Review*, 8(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-022-00126-w>

Hu, G.W. (2023). Harness editors' networks of communication to fight publication fraud, *Accountability in Research-Ethics Integrity and Policy*, early access. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08989621.2023.2199931>

Groen-Xu, M., Bös, G., Teixeira, P.A., Voigt, T., Knapp, B. (2023). Short-term incentives of research evaluations: Evidence from the UK Research Excellence Framework, *Research Policy*, 52(6), 104729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2023.104729>

abib, K., Tjindink, J., Sijtsma, K., Bouter, L., Evans, N., Widdershoven, G. (2023). How to combine rules and commitment in fostering research integrity?, *Accountability in Research-Ethics Integrity and Policy*, early access. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08989621.2023.2191192>

Elali, F.R., Rachid, L.N. (2023). AI-generated research paper fabrication and plagiarism in the scientific community, *Patterns*, 4(3), 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2023.100706>

Ali, M.J., Djalilian, A. (2023). Readership Awareness Series - Paper 3: Paper Mills, *Seminars in Ophthalmology*, 38(4), 317-318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08820538.2023.2178181>

Ali, M.J., Djalilian, A. (2023). Readership Awareness Series - Paper 3: Paper Mills, *Ocular Surface*, 28, 56-57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtos.2023.02.001>

Macdonald, S. (2023). The gaming of citation and authorship in academic journals: a warning from medicine, *Social Science Information sur les Science Sociales*, 61(4), 457-480. <https://doi.org/10.1177/05390184221142218>

Kennedy, M.R., Deans, Z., Ampollini, I., Breit, E., Bucchi, M., Seppel, K., Vie, K.J., ter Meulen, R. (2023). “It is Very Difficult for us to Separate Ourselves from this System”: Views of European Researchers, Research Managers, Administrators and Governance Advisors on Structural and Institutional Influences on Research Integrity, *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 21(3), 471-495. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-022-09469-x>

Lin Y.X., Liu Y. (2023). Perverse Incentives in Academic Research Funding, *Quantitative & Technical Economics*, 40(11), 94-116.



- Alam S., Wilson, L. (2023). Perspectives from a publishing ethics and research integrity team for required improvements, *Journal of Data and Information Science*, 8(3), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.2478/jdis-2023-0018>
- Byrne, J.A., Park, Y., Richardson, R.A.K., Pathmendra, P., Sun, M.Y., Stoeger, T. (2022). Protection of the human gene research literature from contract cheating organizations known as research paper mills, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 50(21), 12058-12070. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1139>
- Kilikevicius A, Meister G, Corey DR. (2022). Reexamining assumptions about miRNA-guided gene silencing. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 50(2), 617-634. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1256>
- Abbasi, K. (2022). Poor housing and dark satanic mills, *BMJ-British Medical Journal*, 379, o2894. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.o2894>
- Candal-Pedreira, C., Ross, J.S., Ruano-Ravina, A., Egilman, D.S., Fernández, E., Pérez-Ríos, M. (2022). Retracted papers originating from paper mills: Cross sectional study. *BMJ-British Medical Journal*, 379, e071517. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2022-071517>
- Santos-d'Amorim, K., Wang, T., Lund, B., Dos Santos, R.N.M. (2024). From plagiarism to scientific paper mills: a profile of retracted articles within the SciELO Brazil collection, *Ethics & Behavior*, 34(1), 40-57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508422.2022.2141747>
- Day, A. (2022). Exploratory analysis of text duplication in peer-review reveals peer-review fraud and paper mills, *Scientometrics*, 127(10), 5965-5987. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-022-04504-5>
- COPE, STM. (2022). Paper mills - research report from COPE & STM - English. <https://doi.org/10.24318/jtbG8IHL>
- Parker, L., Boughton, S., Lawrence, R., Bero, L. (2022). Experts identified warning signs of fraudulent research: a qualitative study to inform a screening tool, *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 151, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2022.07.006>
- Gu, J.J., Wang, X.L., Li, C.N., Zhao, J.H., Fu, W.J., Liang, G.Q., Qiu, J. (2022). AI-enabled image fraud in scientific publications, *Patterns*, 3(7), 100511. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2022.100511>
- Frederickson, R.M., Herzog, R.W. (2022). Addressing the big business of fake science, *Molecular Therapy*, 30(7), 2390-2390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2022.06.001>
- Hackett, R., Kelly, S. (2022). The continuing challenge of paper-mills to publishing in the biological sciences, *Biology Open*, 11(6), bio059428. <https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.059428>
- Xiang, Y.T., Zhang, Q.G., Zhao, N., Chen, P., Lam, M.L., Su, Z.H., Ng, C.H. (2022). Reform performance assessments for clinicians in China to combat fake-paper factories, *Nature Medicine*, 28(7), 1329-1330. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-01830-2>
- Wang, L.S., Zhou, L.Y., Yang, W.X., Yu, R.S. (2022). Deepfakes: A new threat to image fabrication in scientific publications?, *Patterns*, 3(5), :100509. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2022.100509>
- Pérez-Neri, I., Pineda, C., Sandoval, H. (2022). Threats to scholarly research integrity arising from paper mills: a rapid scoping review, *Clinical Rheumatology*, 41(7), 224102248. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-022-06198-9>



Bucci, E., Carafoli, E. (2022). Scientific fraud, Part 1: Definition, general concepts, historical cases, *European Review*, 30(6), 835-849. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1062798722000035>

Carafoli, E., Bucci E. (2022). Scientific fraud, Part 2: From Past to Present, Facts and Analyses, *European Review*, 30(6), 850-871. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1062798722000047>

Besançon, L., Bik, E., Heathers, J., Meyerowitz-Katz, G. (2022). Correction of scientific literature: Too little, too late!, *PLoS Biology*, 20(3), e3001572. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001572>

Guba, K., Slovogorodsky, N. (2022). Publish or Perish in Russian Social Sciences: Co-Authorship Patterns in Non-Problematic and Predatory Journals, *Voprosy Obrazovaniya-Educational Studies Moscow*, 4, 80-106. <https://doi.org/10.17323/1814-9545-2022-4-80-106>

Park, Y., West, R.A., Pathmendra, P., Favier, B., Stoeger, T., Capes-Davis, A., Cabanac, G., Labbé, C., Byrne, J.A. (2022). Identification of human gene research articles with wrongly identified nucleotide sequences, *Life Science Alliance*, 5(4), e202101203. <https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202101203>

Galli, C., Sala, R., Colangelo, M.T. and Guizzardi, S. (2022). Tamquam alter idem: formal similarities in a subset of reports on anti-inflammatory compounds in the years 2008–2019. *Scientometrics*, 127(7), 3879–3910. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-016-2209-6>

Song Jin. (2022). Fewer sandwich papers, please. *ACS Energy Letters*, 7(10), 3727-3728 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.2c02197>

Bradshaw, M.S., Payne, S.H. (2021). Detecting fabrication in large-scale molecular omics data, *PLoS ONE*, 16(11), e0260395. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260395>

Van Calster, B., Wynants, L., Riley, R.D., van Smeden, M., Collins, G.S. (2021). Methodology over metrics: current scientific standards are a disservice to patients and society, *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 138, 219-226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.05.018>

Clark, A.J.L., Buckmaster, S. (2021). Fake science for sale? How Endocrine Connections is tackling paper mills, *Endocrine Connections*, 10(11), e3-e4. <https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-21-0489>

Behl, C. (2021). Integrity measures take its toll: Introducing a complete supplement issue with retractions only, *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 122, S7-S7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.30145>

Chirico, F., Bramstedt, K.A. (2023). Authorship commerce: Bylines for sale, *Accountability in Research-Ethics Integrity and Policy*, 30(4), 246-251. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08989621.2021.1982705>

Sabel, B.A., Seifert, R. (2021). How criminal science publishing gangs damage the genesis of knowledge and technology. A call to action to restore trust, *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology*, 394(11), 2147-2151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-021-02158-3>

van der Heyden, M.A.G. (2021). The 1-h fraud detection challenge, *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology*, 394(8), 1633-1640. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-021-02120-3>

Christopher, J. (2021). The raw truth about paper mills, *FEBS Letters*, 595(13), 1751-1757. <https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.14143>



Christopher, J. (2021). The raw truth about paper mills, *FEBS Letters*, 595(13), 1751-1757.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.14143>

Abalkina, A. (2021). Detecting a network of hijacked journals by its archive, *Scientometrics*, 126(8), 7123-7138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-021-04056-0>

Rivera, H., da Silva, J.A.T. (2021). Retractions, fake peer reviews, and paper mills, *Journal of Korean Medical Science*, 36(24), e165. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2021.36.e165>

da Silva, J.A.T. (2021). Abuse of ORCID's weaknesses by authors who use paper mills, *Scientometrics*, 126(7), 6119-6125. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-021-03996-x>

Memon, A.R., Rathore, F.A. (2021). The rising menace of scholarly black-market: Challenges and solutions for improving research in low- and middle-income countries, *Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*, 71(6), 1523-1526.

Cabanac, G., Labbé, C. (2021). Prevalence of nonsensical algorithmically generated papers in the scientific literature, *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 72(12), 1461-1476. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24495>

Heck, S., Bianchini, F., Souren, N.Y., Wilhelm, C., Ohl, Y., Plass, C. (2021). Fake data, paper mills, and their authors: The International Journal of Cancer reacts to this threat to scientific integrity, *International Journal of Cancer*, 149(3), 492-493. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.33604>

Frederickson, R.M., Herzog, R.W. (2021). Keeping them honest: Fighting fraud in academic publishing, *Molecular Therapy*, 29(3), 889-890. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2021.02.011>

Byrne, J.A., Park, Y., West, R.A., Capes-Davis, A., Favier, B., Cabanac, G., Labbé, C. (2021). The thin ret(raction) line: Biomedical journal responses to incorrect non-targeting nucleotide sequence reagents in human gene knockdown publications, *Scientometrics*, 126(4), 3513-3534. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-021-03871-9>

Behl, C. (2021). Science integrity has been never more important: It's all about trust, *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 122(7), 694-695. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.29906>

Seifert, R. (2021). How Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology deals with fraudulent papers from paper mills, *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology*, 394(3), 431-436. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-021-02056-8>

Fisher, L., Cox, R. (2021). RSC Advances Editorial: retraction of falsified manuscripts, *RSC Advances*, 11(7), 4194-4195. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ra90009a>

Abalkina, A. (2021). Do hijacked journals attract dishonest authors?, *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Scientometrics and Informetrics (ISSI 2021)*, 1-5.

Calver, M. (2021). Combatting the rise of paper mills, *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 27(1), 1-2. https://doi.org/10.1071/PCv27n1_ED

Abalkina, A., Libman, A. (2020). The real costs of plagiarism: Russian governors, plagiarized PhD theses, and infrastructure in Russian regions, *Scientometrics*, 125(3), 2793-2820.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03716-x>



Hackett, R., Kelly, S. (2020). Publishing ethics in the era of paper mills, *Biology Open*, 9(10), bio056556. <https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.056556>

Byrne, J.A., Christopher, J. (2020). Digital magic, or the dark arts of the 21st century- how can journals and peer reviewers detect manuscripts and publications from paper mills?, *FEBS Letters*, 594(4), 583-589. <https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.13747>

Miyakawa, T. (2020). No raw data, no science: another possible source of the reproducibility crisis. *Molecular Brain*, 13, 24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13041-020-0552-2>

Pinna, N., Clavel, G., Roco, M.C. (2020). The Journal of Nanoparticle Research victim of an organized rogue editor Network!, *Journal of Nanoparticle. Research*, 22, 376. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11051-020-05094-0>

Cooper, C.D.O. and Han, W. (2021). A new chapter for a better bioscience reports. *Bioscience Reports*, 28, 41(5):BSR20211016. <https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20211016>

Editorial. (2021). Preventing the publication of falsified research. *Toxicology Research*, 10(5):961. <https://doi.org/10.1093/toxres/tfab090>

Labbé, C., Grima, N., Gautier, T., Favier, B., Byrne, J.A., (2019). Semi-automated fact-checking of nucleotide sequence reagents in biomedical research publications: The Seek & Blastn tool. *PLoS ONE*, 14(3), e0213266. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213266>

Chapman, C.A., Bicca-Marques, J.C., Calvignac-Spencer, S., Fan, P.F., Fashing, P.J., Gogarten, J., Guo, S.T., Hemingway, C.A., Leendertz, F., Li, B.G., Matsuda, I., Hou, R., Serio-Silva, J.C., Stenseth, N.C. (2019). Games academics play and their consequences: how authorship, h-index and journal impact factors are shaping the future of academia, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, 286(1916), 20192047. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.2047>

Byrne, J. (2019). We need to talk about systematic fraud, *Nature*, 566(7742), 9-9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-00439-9>

Byrne, J.A., Grima, N., Capes-Davis, A., Labbé, C. (2019). The Possibility of Systematic Research Fraud Targeting Under-Studied Human Genes: Causes, Consequences, and Potential Solutions, *Biomarker Insights*, 14, 1177271919829162. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1177271919829162>

Rivera, H. (2019). Fake Peer Review and Inappropriate Authorship Are Real Evils, *Journal of Korean Medical Science*, 34(2), e6. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2019.34.e6>

Galli, C., Sala, R., Colangelo, M.T. and Guizzardi, S. (2019). Between innovation and standardization, is there still a room for scientific reports? The rise of a formatting tradition in periodontal research, *Publications*, 7(4), 67; <https://doi.org/10.3390/publications7040067>

Shaw, D.M., Elger, B.S. (2018). Unethical Aspects of Open Access, *Accountability in Research-Policies and Quality Assurance*, 25(7-8), 409-416. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08989621.2018.1537789>

Misra, D.P., Ravindran, V., Agarwal, V. (2018). Integrity of Authorship and Peer Review Practices: Challenges and Opportunities for Improvement, *Journal of Korean Medical Science*, 33(47), e287. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2018.33.e287>



- Pellegrini, P.A. (2018). Science as a Matter of Honour: How Accused Scientists Deal with Scientific Fraud in Japan, *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 24(4), 1297-1313. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-017-9937-8>
- Han, J.H., Li, Z.F. (2018). How Metrics-Based Academic Evaluation Could Systematically Induce Academic Misconduct: A Case Study, *East Asian Science Technology and Society—An International Journal*, 12(2), 165-179. <https://doi.org/10.1215/18752160-4275144>
- Medway, D., Roper, S., Gillooly, L. (2018). Contract cheating in UK higher education: A covert investigation of essay mills, *British Educational Research Journal*, 44(3), 393-418. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3335>
- Liu, X.M., Chen, X.T. (2018). Journal Retractions: Some Unique Features of Research Misconduct in China, *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, 49(3), 305-319. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jsp.49.3.02>
- Stebbing, J., Sanders, D.A. (2018). The importance of being earnest in post-publication review: Scientific fraud and the scourges of anonymity and excuses, *Oncogene*, 37(6), 695-696. <https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2017.390>
- Byrne, J.A., Labbé, C., (2017). Striking similarities between publications from China describing single gene knockdown experiments in human cancer cell lines. *Scientometrics*, 110(3), 1471-1493. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11192-016-2209-6>
- Tugwell, P., Knottnerus, J.A. (2017). How does one detect scientific fraud - but avoid false accusations?, *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 87, 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.08.013>
- Kaelin, W.G. (2017). Publish houses of brick, not mansions of straw, *Nature*, 545(7655), 387-387. <https://doi.org/10.1038/545387a>
- Edwards, M.A., Roy, S. (2017). Academic Research in the 21st Century: Maintaining Scientific Integrity in a Climate of Perverse Incentives and Hypercompetition, *Environmental Engineering Science*, 34(1), 51-61. <https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2016.0223>
- Quan, W., Chen, B.K., Shu, F. (2017). Publish or impoverish An investigation of the monetary reward system of science in China (1999-2016), *ASLIB Journal of Information Management*, 69(5), 486-502. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-01-2017-0014>
- Razek, N. (2017). Academic Integrity and International Students: Culture, Challenges, and Learning Habits, Handbook of Research in *Higher Education and Professional Development*, 327-342. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-1610-1.ch015>
- Aulakh, R. (2016). Mandatory publication in India: Setting quotas for research output could encourage scientific fraud, *BMJ-British Medical Journal*, 354, i5002. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i5002>
- Smaldino, P.E., McElreath, R. (2016). The natural selection of bad science, *Royal Society Open Science*, 3(9), 160384. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160384>
- Tian, M., Su, Y, Ru, X. (2016). Perish or Publish in China: Pressures on Young Chinese Scholars to Publish in Internationally Indexed Journals, *Publications*, 4(2), 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/publications4020009>



Miwa, R. (2016). The social impact of scientific fraud, *Journal of Pharmacological Sciences*, 130(3), S12-S12.

White, J.L. (2016). Shadow Scholars and the Rise of the Dissertation Service Industry: Can We Maintain Academic Integrity?, *Journal of Research Practice*, 12(1), V1.
<https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1098634.pdf>

Aspenberg, P. (2015). How I was nearly duped into “authoring” a fake paper, *BMJ-British Medical Journal*, 351, h6605. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h6605>

Amancio, D.R. (2015). Comparing the topological properties of real and artificially generated scientific manuscripts, *Scientometrics*, 105(3), 1763-1779.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-015-1637-z>

Siebert, S., Machesky, L.M., Insall, R.H. (2015). Overflow in science and its implications for trust, *eLife*, 4, e10825. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.10825>

Bohannon, J. (2015). Hoax-detecting software spots fake papers, *Science*, 348(6230), 18-19.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.348.6230.18>

Williams, K., Giles, C.L. (2015). On the Use of Similarity Search to Detect Fake Scientific Papers, *Similarity Search and Applications, SISAP 2015*, 9371, 332-338.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25087-8_32

Li, Y.Y. (2014). Chinese medical doctors negotiating the pressure of the publication requirement, *Iberica*, 28, 107-127.

Ginsparg, P. (2014). ArXiv screens spot fake papers, *Nature*, 508(7494), 44-44.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/508044a> (and correction 509(7498), 33)

Li, Y.Y. (2014). Chinese Doctors Connecting to the English Publishing World: Literature Access, Editorial Services, and Training in Publication Skills, *Publications*, 2(1), 1-13.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/publications2010001>

Hvistendahl, M. (2013). China's publication bazaar, *Science*, 342(6162), 1035-1039.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.342.6162.1035>

Hu, Z.W., Wu, Y.S. (2013). An empirical analysis on number and monetary value of ghostwritten papers in China, *Current Science*, 105(9), 1230-1234.

Stroebe, W., Postmes, T., Spears, R. (2012). Scientific Misconduct and the Myth of Self-Correction in Science, *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 7(6), 670-688.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612460687>

News items:

AI could accelerate scientific fraud as well as progress, *The Economist*, Feb 1, 2024.
<https://www.economist.com/science-and-technology/2024/02/01/ai-could-accelerate-scientific-fraud-as-well-as-progress>

Subbaraman, N., How Science Sleuths Track Down Bad Research, *Wall Street Journal*, March 13, 2024. <https://www.wsj.com/tech/scientific-papers-imagegetwin-proofig-image-scanning-4eae1aad>



- Subbaraman, N., The Band of Debunkers Busting Bad Scientists, *Wall Street Journal*, Sept 24, 2023. <https://www.wsj.com/science/data-colada-debunk-stanford-president-research-14664f3>
- Chawla, D.S. (2024). Online tool identifies paper discussed on PubPeer. *Nature*, 629, 271-2. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-024-01247-6>
- Chawla, D.S. (2023). Fake research papers flagged by analysing authorship trends, *Nature*, <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-024-00344-w>
- Sanderson, K. (2024). Science's fake-paper problem: high-profile effort will tackle paper mills. *Nature*, 626(7997), 17-18. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-024-00159-9>
- Van Noorden, R. (2023). How big is science's fake-paper problem? *Nature*, 623(7987), 466-467. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-03464-x>
- Chawla, D.S. (2023). Crystallography Databases Hunt for Fraudulent Structures, *ACS Central Science*, 9(10), 1853-1855. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.3c01209>
- Liverpool, L. (2023). AI intensifies fight against paper mills. *Nature*, 618(7964), 222-223. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-01780-w>
- Brainard, J. (2023). New tools show promise for tackling paper mills, *Science*, 380(6645), 568-569. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adi6513>
- Else, H. (2023). Multimillion-dollar trade in paper authorships alarms publishers, *Nature*, 613(7945), 617-618. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-00062-9>
- Else, H. (2022). Paper-mill detector put to the test in push to stamp out fake science, *Nature*, 612(7940), 386-387. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-04245-8>
- Eardley, I. (2022). Paper Mills, Retracted Papers and Rule-Bending Research, *BJU International*, 130(6), 711-711.
- Else, H., Bimler, D. (2022). What makes an undercover science sleuth tick? Fake-paper detective speaks out, *Nature*, 608(7923), 463-463. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-02099-8>
- Chawla, D.S. (2022). How a site peddles author slots in reputable publishers' journals, *Science*, 376(6590), 231-232. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abq4276>
- Else, H. (2021). Scammers impersonate guest editors to get sham papers published, *Nature*, 599(7885), 361-361. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-03035-y>
- Else, H. (2021). China's clampdown on fake-paper factories picks up speed, *Nature*, 598(7879), 19-20. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-02587-3>
- Else, H. (2021). Tortured phrases give away fabricated research papers, *Nature*, 596(7872), 328. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-02134-0>
- Else, H., Van Noorden, R. (2021). The battle against paper mills, *Nature*, 591(7851), 516-519. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-00733-5>
- Howes, L. (2021). Paper mill hits RSC journals, *Chemical & Engineering News*, 99(4), 15-15. <https://doi.org/10.47287/cen-09904-polcon4>



Arxiv items:

Porter, S.J., McIntosh, L.D. (2024). Identifying Fabricated Networks within Authorship-for-Sale Enterprises, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.04022> and <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.04022>

Cabanac, G., Labbé, C., Magazinov, A. (2021). Tortured phrases: A dubious writing style emerging in science. Evidence of critical issues affecting established journals. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.06751> and <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2107.06751>

